



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/459,574	12/13/1999	GEN SASAKI	6318-0022-2	1341
22850	7590	07/22/2005	EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			TRAN, NHAN T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2615	

DATE MAILED: 07/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/459,574	SASAKI, GEN	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Nhan T. Tran	2615	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 5/24/2005 & 4/27/2005.

2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-4 and 7-29 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) 7-27 is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) 28 and 29 is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 1 and 4 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) 2 and 3 is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) All b) Some * c) None of:

1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.

3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

6) Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 5/24/2005 & 4/27/2005 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 & 4 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Horigome et al (US 5,828,986) in view of Sansom-Wai et al (US 6,411,331).

Regarding claim 1, Horigome discloses an image processing circuit configured to perform predetermined image processing of pixel data included in an image photographed by an image pickup device (see Figs. 9 & 19), said circuit comprising:

a selector (73b) configured to receive said pixel data from the image pickup device (via circuit 73a) and stored pixel data from a main memory (80A, Fig. 19);

a real time processing unit (image processing section 73) connected to an output of said selector and configured to perform a general image processing (at least DA conversion performed by circuit 73c) of pixel data received from the selector by real time processing and to output pixel data (from circuit 73a) to the main memory (80A), the main memory being configured to store the pixel data output from said real time processing unit in image frame units as said stored pixel data (see col. 11, lines 30-40 and col. 8, line 56 – col. 10, line 45 and note that either circuit 73a or 73c is considered as a real time processing unit within the real time processing section 73);

a central control unit (CPU 75A) including input unit connected to a main memory (via CPU BUS) and configured to execute exceptional processing (JPEG compression) with respect to the stored pixel data received from said main memory (80A), wherein said selector (73b) is configured to select at least one of said pixel data from said image pickup device and said stored pixel data from said main memory to be provided to the output connected to the real time processing unit. See col. 11, lines 30-40 and col. 8, line 56 – col. 10, line 45.

Although Horigome discloses exceptional image processing (JPEG compression) performed by hardware circuitry 85 under control of CPU 75A (Fig. 19), Horigome does not teach that the JPEG compression is performed by executing a software routine by the CPU.

Art Unit: 2615

However, it is well known that JPEG compression can be implemented in an image processing system by either hardware circuitry or software routine executed at a control unit in a conventional fashion as taught by Sansom-Wai et al, col. 5, lines 7-23.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to implement the JPEG compression utilizing software routine which would be executed by the CPU as an alternative configuration of JPEG processing over a hardware compression circuitry.

4. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Horigome et al and Sansom-Wai et al as applied to claim 1 and in further view of Hidari (US 5,905,533).

Regarding claim 4, Horigome and Sansom-Wai do not disclose repetitive inputs from a main memory to the real time processing unit to circulate over and over again when said selector selects the pixel data of image temporarily stored in said main memory. Hidari teaches an image processing unit that is implemented with a circulative addition circuitry to perform image signal addition by circulating pixel data output from a memory (24) over and over again to *reduce noise* contained in pixel data (col. 8, line 44 – col. 9, line 15). As the number of times of circulative addition increases, the degree of noise is decreased (Figs. 6-9).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the real time processing unit by including a circulative addition circuitry so that the pixel data inputted repetitively from the main memory to the real processing unit would be circulated by a number of times to *reduce noise* contained in the pixel data when said selector selected the pixel

data of image temporarily stored in said main memory before outputting to a reproduction/recording unit.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 2 & 3 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. *See the same reasons for allowance set forth below.*

6. Claims 28 & 29 are allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Regarding claim 28, the prior art of record fails to teach or fairly suggest the combination of all limitations required in claim 28 that includes “*...said real time processing unit including a plurality of image processing blocks connected sequentially; a foremost stage image processing block configured to selectively receive said pixel data stored in said main memory through said selector; at least one of a second and later image processing blocks configured to selectively receive at least one of a pixel data from said foremost stage image processing block and the pixel data stored in said main memory through a predetermined other selector; a rearmost stage image processing block configured to send a first processed pixel data to said main memory; and at least one of an image processing block that precedes said the rearmost stage image processing block configured to send a second processed pixel data to both the succeeding image processing block and said main memory.*”

Regarding claim 29, the prior art of record also fails to teach or fairly suggest the combination of all limitations required in claim 29 that includes “*...a timing generator configured to regulate operation timing of said real time processing unit and said image pickup device, said timing generator comprising: a synchronous controller configured to synchronously regulate operation timing of said real time processing unit and operation timing of said image pickup device when said selector selects the pixel data in the image photographed by said image pickup device; and an asynchronous controller configured to asynchronously regulate operation timing of said real time processing unit and operation timing of said image pickup device when said selector selects the pixel data stored in said main memory.*”

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled “Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance.”

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nhan T. Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-7371. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday, 7:30am - 5:30pm.

Art Unit: 2615

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Ometz can be reached on (571) 272-7593. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

NT.



DAVID L. OMETZ
PRIMARY EXAMINER